	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/713,006	DUPRET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allo	<u>owed</u>
(1) <u>Daniel M. Sullivan</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Victoria Silcott</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 May 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
•		•
Claims discussed:		·
1, 6, 8, 9, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22 and 23		•
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The parties discussed the Examiner's Amendment mailed herewith, which places the claims into condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)